



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/578,277	05/25/2000	Indira C. Prabhakar	5205 P3005.01	6173	
7590 01/19/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch P C			PENDLETO	PENDLETON, BRIAN T	
5291 Colony Drive North			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Saginnaw, MI 48603			2644		

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/578,277	PRABHAKAR ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brian T. Pendleton	2644		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED			
Status					
2a)□	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 October 2005</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	, <u></u>	,		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 May 2000</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		,, □	(DTO 440)		
2) Notic 3) Inforr	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4)			

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the Appeal Brief, filed 10/28/05, with respect to claims 1-6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Gilmore and Hanson et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilmore, US Patent Application Publication 2005/0209733 in view of Hanson et al, US Patent 5,835,455. Gilmore discloses an automatic medicine dispenser comprising an inherent on/off switch, keypad 10 for entering a code that provides access to a recording function (see paragraph 72), microphone 122 on personal message unit 112 for recording an oral message in the medication reminder device (see paragraph 81), said keypad also used for entering a month, date and time of day schedule (see paragraphs 17 and 84 which imply that the schedule is based on a pre-programmed time, day, date, and calendar). The oral message is recorded for the month, date, and time of day. Paragraph 74 discloses that audible and visible indicators are generated when a pre-programmed dosage of medicine is to be taken. Gilmore does not disclose that visible signal to alert the individual that it is time to take medicine is turned off in response to playing the oral message which is played upon pressing a play button. Hanson et al teach an audio prescription instruction device comprising playback button 20 which is used to play an oral

Art Unit: 2644

نر۴

message related to medicine that is to be taken. Therefore, it was well known at the time of invention to generate an audio message at the touch of a button. Furthermore, Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of a visible indicator indicating that a message is waiting to be played and playing the message upon activation of a button and turning off the visible indicator was well known at the time of invention, specifically in the form of telephone answering machines, voice mail applications, etc. The visible indicator advantageously was used as a pronounced signal to alert the user of a waiting message. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gilmore per the teachings of Hanson et al and include a playback button to generate the audible message and signal the visible indicator (LED 24) before playing back the message for the purpose of reminding the user of an impending dosage time. Claims 1 and 2 are met. As to claim 2, there are multiple dosage times (one for each day of a calendar month as shown in figure 1A).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilmore in view of Hanson et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kirton et al. The combination of Gilmore and Hanson et al does not teach recording a warning message and playing such message when the user does not take action after an alert signal for a scheduled dosage. Nonetheless, that feature was well known in the art at the time of invention. Kirton et al disclose an event monitoring device for medicine compliance. In figure 3 there is disclosed a flow diagram which shows that the user gets alerted several times after the initial alert to take medication. Thus, it was taught to further warn the user that medication has to be taken. The art suggests that single notification was not sufficient. It was advantageous to provide multiple notification since the missing of a dose of medicine could have resulted in grave consequences for the user. Therefore,

Art Unit: 2644

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a system of multiple notification in the combination of Gilmore and Hanson et al. Since the Gilmore invention uses voice messages, modifying the combination would involve recording a message that the initial alert was not regarded, thus meeting claim 3.

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilmore in view of Hanson et al as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Sekura et al. The combination of Gilmore and Hanson et al does not disclose changing the current month, date and time to a future period and playing messages recorded for the future period, and changing the month, date, and time to the current month, date and time. Sekura et al teach a prescription compliance device and method comprising a "FUTURE" option (see figure 6A) which allows the user to scroll through the further times medication is to be taken (see column 9 lines 6-21). Hence, Sekura et al teach changing time (via scrolling) to see when other dosages are to be taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Gilmore and Hanson et al by including a "FUTURE" option, per the teachings of Sekura et al, for the purpose of playing messages recorded for a future dosage month, date, and time of day for the benefit of confirming future dosage events.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

Art Unit: 2644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

btp

BRIAN TYRONE PENDLETON PRIMARY EXAMINER

2. J. Ze =